

[RW] Chapter 2.4: Induced Representations

Shen Lu

October 14, 2020

1 Bimodule ${}_A X_B$

Definition 1.1. Let B be C^* -algebras and let X_B be a right Hilbert B -bimodule. That is, X_B is a complex vector space with a right B -action satisfying:

- $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x$
- (i) $(x + y) \cdot a = x \cdot a + y \cdot a$,
 - (ii) $x \cdot (a + b) = x \cdot a + x \cdot b$,
 - (iii) $(x \cdot a) \cdot b = x \cdot (ab)$,
 - (iv) $(\lambda x) \cdot a = x \cdot (\lambda a) = \lambda(x \cdot a)$,
- for all $a, b \in B$, $x, y \in X_B$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

In addition, X_B has an B -valued the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B : X_B \times X_B \rightarrow B$ satisfying

- (i) $\langle x, \lambda y + \mu z \rangle_B = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_B + \mu \langle x, z \rangle_B$,
- (ii) $\langle x, y \cdot a \rangle_B = \langle x, y \rangle_B a$, $\longrightarrow \langle a \cdot x, y \rangle_A = a \langle x, y \rangle_A$
- (iii) $\langle x, y \rangle_B^* = \langle y, x \rangle_B$,
- (iv) $\langle x, x \rangle_B \geq 0$ (as a positive element of B),
- (v) $\langle x, x \rangle_B = 0$ implies that $x = 0$,

for all $x, y \in X_B$, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

Moreover, X_B is complete with respect to the norm defined by $\|x\|_B := \|\langle x, x \rangle_B\|^{1/2}$.

Definition 1.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra, we can similarly define a left Hilbert A -module X_A using left-hand versions of the properties in Definition 1.1.

Let X_B be a Hilbert B -module and let A act as adjointable operators on X_B . That is, we have a C^* -homomorphism of $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_B)$. We denote this left action of $a \in A$ on $x \in X_B$ by $a \cdot x$. More explicitly, $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)(x)$. We denote the A - B -bimodule by ${}_A X_B$.

$$\text{representation of } B \quad \pi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$$

Goal: given a right Hilbert B -module X_B and an action of A on X_B as adjointable operators, we wish to convert a representation π of B on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_π to a representation of A on some Hilbert space using the bimodule ${}_A X_B$.

A

Motivating Example: Let G be a unimodular locally compact group with closed (unimodular) subgroup H . We will study a left action of $C^*(G)$ on the right Hilbert module $X_{C^*(H)}$, inducing representations of $C^*(H)$ “up” to representations of $C^*(G)$.

2 Induced representation

Notation: Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces. We use $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{K}$ to denote the algebraic (i.e. incomplete) tensor product of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} as vector spaces, and use $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to denote the tensor product of Hilbert spaces. [RW] uses the notation $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{K}$ for $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{K}$.

Setup: Let X_B be a Hilbert B -module A acting from the left as adjointable operators and let $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be a nondegenerate representation. We construct a representation of A on the interior tensor product of X_B and \mathcal{H}_π (using π).

Definition 2.1. (interior tensor product of Hilbert C^* -modules) Let E be a Hilbert A -module and F be a Hilbert B -module and let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(F)$ be a C^* -homomorphism. The interior tensor product $E \otimes_\phi F$ (using ϕ) is the completion of the underlying vector space $(E \otimes_{\text{alg}} F) / \text{span}\{xa \otimes_{\text{alg}} y - x \otimes_{\text{alg}} \phi(a)y : x \in E, y \in F, a \in A\}$ with respect to the norm induced by the B -valued inner product

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle_B = \langle y_1, \phi(\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_A) y_2 \rangle_B.$$

The interior tensor product $E \otimes_\phi F$ is a Hilbert B -module.

Example 2.2. By taking $E = X_B$, $F = \mathcal{H}_\pi$, and $\phi = \pi$ in Definition 2.1, the interior tensor product of $X_B \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi$ (using π) is a right Hilbert \mathbb{C} -module (i.e., a Hilbert space). In [RW], $X_B \otimes_\pi \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is denoted by $X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$, without explicitly mentioning interior tensor products. We adapt this notation.

Explicitly, as a Hilbert space, the inner product on $X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is characterized by

$$\langle x \otimes h, y \otimes k \rangle = \langle \pi(\langle y, x \rangle_B) h, k \rangle.$$

The tensor product is B -balanced in the sense that $(x \cdot b) \otimes h = x \otimes \pi(b)h$ for all $b \in B$. For emphasis of such manipulations, we write $x \otimes_B y \in X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$ for the image of $x \otimes y \in X \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

Hilbert space
 \mathcal{H}
 $\langle ax+by, z \rangle = a\langle x, z \rangle + b\langle y, z \rangle$
Hilbert \mathbb{C} -module
 $\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle b, a \rangle$
 $(b|a)$ [RW]'s notation for Hilbert space inner product

Hilbert space
 $X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$

$$\pi : B \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$$

Proposition 2.3 (RW, Proposition 2.66). *With the setup as above, the formula*

$$\text{Ind } \pi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi)$$

$$\boxed{\text{Ind } \pi}(a)(x \otimes_B h) := (a \cdot x) \otimes_B h,$$

$\left. \begin{matrix} a \in A \\ a \cdot x : x \in X \end{matrix} \right\}$ when extended linearly, gives a representation $\text{Ind } \pi$ of A on the Hilbert space $X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$. If X is nondegenerate as an A -module (i.e., $A \cdot X$ is dense in X), then $\text{Ind } \pi$ is a nondegenerate representation of A on $X \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$.

Notation. Since $\text{Ind } \pi$ depends on both the Hilbert B -module X_B and the C^* -homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_B)$ we are using, we write $X\text{-Ind}_B^A \pi$, $\text{Ind}_B^A \pi$, or $X\text{-Ind } \pi$ for emphasis when needed.

$$\varphi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(X_B) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

Example 2.4. Take $B = \mathbb{C}$ and consider Hilbert space $X_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}$ and let $\rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a representation. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be given by $\pi(\lambda) = \lambda 1$, where 1 is the identity operator on \mathcal{H}_π .

Then $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is the Hilbert space tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi$ and $\text{Ind } \pi$ is given by $\text{Ind } \pi = \rho \otimes 1$, that is, $\text{Ind } \pi(a) = \rho(a) \otimes 1$.

Hilbert space

$$\langle x \otimes h, y \otimes k \rangle = \langle \pi(\langle y, x \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}) h, k \rangle \quad \text{tensor product}$$

$$= \langle \langle y, x \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} h, k \rangle \quad \mathcal{H} \otimes X_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$= \langle x, y \rangle \langle h, k \rangle$$

$\mathcal{L}(B_B)$

$$\text{id } \phi : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(B_B)$$

Example 2.5. Consider $X_B = B_B$ and $A = \mathcal{L}(B_B) = M(B)$ and a nondegenerate representation $\pi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$. There is a unitary map from $B \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_\pi$ to \mathcal{H}_π , and the induced representation of $A = M(B)$ on \mathcal{H}_π is the usual extended representation of the multiplier algebra.

$$\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$$

extend $\tilde{\varphi} : M(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.

Induced representation is functorial: $\mathsf{X} - \text{Ind}_B^A$ is a functor from the category of nondegenerate representations of B and bounded intertwining operators to the corresponding category for A . More precisely:

Proposition 2.6 (RW, Proposition 2.69). *Suppose A acts nondegenerately as adjointable operators on a Hilbert B -module X , that*

$$\pi_1 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

are nondegenerate representations of B . Let $T : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ be a bounded intertwining operator:

$$T(\pi_1(b)h) = \pi_2(b)(Th).$$

Then the transformation

$$1 \otimes T : \begin{cases} \mathsf{X} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}_1 & \mapsto & \mathsf{X} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{H}_2 \\ x \otimes h & \mapsto & x \otimes (Th) \end{cases}$$

extends to a bounded operator $1 \otimes_B : \mathsf{X} \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_1 \mapsto \mathsf{X} \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_2$ which intertwines $\mathsf{X} - \text{Ind} \pi_1$ and $\mathsf{X} - \text{Ind} \pi_2$.

The correspondence $T \mapsto 1 \otimes_B T$ is $$ -linear, and if $S : \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_3$ intertwines π_2 and π_3 , then*

$$1 \otimes (S \circ T) = (1 \otimes_B S) \circ (1 \otimes_B T).$$

3 Main example: $C^*(G) \times_{C^*(H)}$

$$C^*(G) \sim_{SME} C^*(H)$$

3.1 (full) Group C*-algebra

Let G be a unimodular locally compact group with Haar measure. $C_c(G)$ (the set of continuous \mathbb{C} -valued function on G with compact support) is a *-algebra under

$$K[G]$$

convolution $f * g = \int_G f(r)g(r^{-1}s) dr,$

G discrete

$$C_c(G) = \mathbb{C}[G]$$

and

involution $f^*(s) = \overline{f(s^{-1})}.$

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g g^\psi \quad a_g = 0 \text{ except for finite many } g \in G.$$

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) * \left(\sum_{g' \in G} b_{g'} g' \right) = \sum_{h \in G} \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g b_{g^{-1}h} \right) h$$

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right)^* = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{a_g} g^{-1}$$

Let $U : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary presentation of G denoted by $s \mapsto U_s$ such that $s \mapsto U_s h$ from G to \mathcal{H} is continuous for every $s \in G$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$. For each unitary representation U ,

$$\pi_U : \begin{cases} C_c(G) & \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \\ f & \mapsto \int_G f(s)U_s ds \end{cases}$$

$$U : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a *-representation of $C_c(G)$. In particular,

$$\pi_U \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} a_g U(g)$$

$$\langle \pi_U(f)h, k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_G f(r) \langle U_r h, k \rangle dr$$

$$\pi_U : C_c(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

for all $f \in C_c(G)$, and $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{cases} U : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \pi_U : C_c(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \end{cases} \text{ nondegenerate}$$

The map $U \mapsto \pi_U$ is a bijection between the unitary representations of G and the nondegenerate representations of $C_c(G)$.

Taking the closure of $C_c(G)$ with the universal norm:

$$\|f\|_{C^*(G)} = \sup \{ \|\pi_U(f)\| : U \text{ if a unitary representation of } G \},$$

$C^*(G) := \overline{C_c(G)}^{C^*(G)}$ is a C*-algebra called the (full) group C*-algebra.

$$\text{reduced } L^2(G)$$

3.2 $C^*(G) \times_{C^*(H)}$

Let H be a (unimodular) closed subgroup of G . We construct a right Hilbert $C^*(H)$ -module $X_{C^*(H)}$ from $C_c(G)$. For any $b \in C_c(H)$ and $f, g \in C_c(G)$, we define

module $f \cdot b(s) = \int_H f(st^{-1})b(t)dt$ $\parallel X_{C^*(H)}$

and

inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_{C_c(H)}(s) = \int_G \overline{f(r)}g(rs)dr.$

With the appropriate completions, $C_c(G)$ as a right $C_c(H)$ -module gives a right Hilbert $C^*(H)$ -module $X_{C^*(H)}$.

Define action of $C^*(G)$ on $X_{C^*(H)}$. We first define an action of G on $C_c(G)$ (as a right $C_c(H)$ -module): for $s \in G$, define $u_s : C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(G)$ by

$$u_s(f)(t) := f(s^{-1}t)$$

for $f \in C_c(G)$ and $t \in G$. In particular, u_s is isometric in the sense that

$$\langle u_s(f), u_s(g) \rangle_{C_c(H)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{C_c(H)}$$

and u_s is adjointable with $u_s^* = u_{s^{-1}}$.

For $z \in C_c(G) \subset C^*(G)$ and $f \in C_c(G) \subset X_{C^*(H)}$, we define

$$(z \cdot f)(s) := \int_G z(r)u_r(f)(s)dr = \int_G z(r)f(r^{-1}s)dr.$$

This extends to a left action of $C^*(G)$ on the (complete) Hilbert $C^*(H)$ -module $X_{C^*(H)}$.

Induce representations of $C^*(H)$ to representations of $C^*(G)$ using $C^*(G) \times_{C^*(H)}$.

$C^*(H \times G/H) \quad \parallel X_{C^*(H)}$

unitary "rep" of G

extend it to a "representations" of $C_c(G)$

Reference

[RW] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -Algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs no. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1998.